



Agricultural Marketing Service

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

AWARD YEARS 2021 AND PRIOR

This annual Performance Progress Report (PPR) must illustrate the progress made toward the completion of your project. If this project is completed at the time of the annual PPR submission, the project report should be marked as final. Please note character limits (abbreviated "char.") throughout.

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title (250 char.)	The Future of Specialty Crop Food Aggregation and Distribution in Western and Central South Dakota:Infrastructure and logistics capacity building
Organization Name (250 char.)	Value Added Agriculture Development Center
Project Start Date	January 1, 2022
Project End Date	September 30, 2025

ORGANIZATION POINT OF CONTACT

Full Name	Cheri Rath
Phone Number	605.224.9402
Email Address	cherirath@yahoo.com

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

PROJECT PROGRESS

Please provide the total percentage of work completed on the project.	100%
Is this a final report? Please select the box to the right if the answer is "yes".	☐

PROJECT BACKGROUND (REQUIRED FOR FINAL REPORTS)

Provide enough information for the reader to understand the importance or context of the project and any key findings. This section may draw from the background and justification contained in the accepted project plan. As the project has been completed, write this section in past tense. If this is an annual report, please skip this section. (1200 char.)

The specialty crop food aggregation and distribution logistics capacity building project was initiated to address critical logistical, time and financial barriers preventing growers from accessing their markets. Individual small-scale, remote western and central South Dakota growers were dedicating an unsustainable amount of time (18 -25 hours per week) and financial resources (estimated \$300 per day) to drive product over 1,000 miles to market. Significant inefficiencies limited their ability to consistently meet increasing demand for their specialty crop products.

Value Added Agriculture Development Center's SCBG launched a pilot program to develop a shared, seasonal aggregation and distribution route with intent to create a future-facing plan for a viable local food aggregation/distribution cooperative that would increase specialty crop merchandise sales. The pilot utilized a leased truck and provided fuel and a contractual driver to consolidate and deliver product from multiple growers. The project successfully demonstrated feasibility of a unified supply chain model that would free up producer labor, grow markets and establish a viable framework.

OBJECTIVE(S) (REQUIRED)

Please provide the approved project's objectives from the accepted project plan. Use the boxes to indicate if the objective is in progress, has been completed, or is not (and will not be) completed. At the final report, if "no" is selected for any of the listed objectives, you must expand upon this in the Challenges and Developments Section. Additional objectives may be added as needed.

+	#	Objective (2,000 char.)	Objective Completed?
-	1	Create a future facing plan for specialty crop food aggregation and distribution in the remote areas of western and central South Dakota	Yes
-	2	Increase specialty crop merchandise sales to the institutional, farm to school, wholesale, retail and direct-to-consumer markets	Yes

OUTCOMES

Please only report on the outcomes and indicators that are selected and approved for this project. Use the check boxes to indicate which outcomes and indicators are selected. Select the "N/A" box for any indicators that are not applicable.

1. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH INCREASED SALES

☐

2. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH INCREASED CONSUMPTION

☐

3. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH INCREASED ACCESS AND AWARENESS

☒

Please provide the total number of consumers or wholesale buyers who access/produce/prepare/preserve specialty crops and select the applicable indicators for this reporting period.

Indicators	Value	N/A
1. Total number of consumers or wholesale buyers reached	49	☐
1.a. Of the total number in 1, enter the number that gained knowledge on how to access/produce/prepare/preserve specialty crops	23	☐
1.b. Of the total number in 1, enter the number that reported an intention to access/produce/prepare/preserve specialty crops	27	☐
1.c. Of the total number in 1, enter the number that reported supplementing their diets with specialty crops that they produced/preserved/obtained/prepared		☒

Please provide the total number of individuals reached and select the applicable indicators for this reporting period.

Indicators	Value	N/A
2. Total number of individuals reached		☒
2.a. Of the total number in 2, enter the number of adults that gained knowledge on how to access/produce/prepare/preserve specialty crops		☒
2.b. Of the total number in 2, enter the number that reported an intention to access/produce/prepare/preserve specialty crops		☒
2.c. Of the total number in 2, enter the number that reported supplementing their diets with specialty crops that they produced/prepared/preserved/obtained		☒

Please select the applicable indicators from the list and provide data on applicable existing delivery systems/access points of those reached that expanded and/or improved offerings of specialty crops for this reporting period.

Indicators	Value	N/A
3.a. Farmers markets	0	☐
3.b. Produce at corner stores		☒
3.c. School food programs and other food options (vending machines, school events, etc.)	2	☐
3.d. Grocery stores	3	☐
3.e. Wholesale markets	4	☐
3.f. Food hubs that process, aggregate, distribute, or store specialty crops		☒
3.g. Home improvement centers with lawn and garden		☒
3.h. Lawn and garden centers		☒
3.i. Other systems/access points, not noted	5	☐
3.j. Total (if not reported above)	14	☐

Please select the applicable indicators from the list and provide data on applicable **new** existing delivery systems/access points offering specialty crops for this reporting period.

Indicators	Value	N/A
4.a. Farmers markets	4	☐
4.b. Produce at corner stores		☒
4.c. School food programs and other food options (vending machines, school events, etc.)	4	☐
4.d. Grocery stores	3	☐
4.e. Wholesale markets	7	☐
4.f. Food hubs that process, aggregate, distribute, or store specialty crops		☒
4.g. Home improvement centers with lawn and garden		☒
4.h. Lawn and garden centers		☒
4.i. Other systems/access points, not noted	17	☐
4.j. Total (if not reported above)	35	☐

4. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH GREATER CAPACITY OF SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES OF SPECIALTY CROP PRODUCTION RESULTING IN INCREASED YIELD, REDUCED INPUTS, INCREASED EFFICIENCY, INCREASED ECONOMIC RETURN, AND/OR CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES ☐

5. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH MORE SUSTAINABLE, DIVERSE, AND RESILIENT SPECIALTY CROP SYSTEMS ☐

6. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH INCREASING THE NUMBER OF VIABLE TECHNOLOGIES TO IMPROVE FOOD SAFETY
☐

7. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH INCREASED UNDERSTANDING OF THREATS TO FOOD SAFETY FROM MICROBIAL AND CHEMICAL SOURCES
☐

8. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH ENHANCING OR IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AS A RESULT OF SPECIALTY CROP DEVELOPMENT
☐

ADDITIONAL APPROVED OUTCOME (IF APPLICABLE)
☐

OUTCOME REMARKS (REQUIRED)

Please explain any quantifiable results, along with an update on their progress and data collection efforts for each of the outcomes and indicators selected in the accepted project plan. It is understood that the results may not yet be final at the time that this report is submitted; however, please provide an update on progress to date. If you do not have any data on the indicator(s) listed above, you must explain why in this section. (2,000 char.)

Outcome 3: Enhance the Competitiveness of Specialty Crops through Increased Access and Awareness
The aggregation/distribution pilot exceeded all comprehensive targets established for this four-year project.

Quantifiable Results (Comprehensive 4-Year Totals)
*Indicator 3.1 A total of 15 unique specialty crop producers benefited from the shared distribution route. This surpassed our target of 9, with participation growing from 11 in Year 1 to 14 in the final pilot year.
*Indicator 3.3 The project documented a consistent increase in sales for participating producers. By aggregating product and accessing high-volume markets previously unreachable, we fulfilled Objective 2, exceeding baseline sales expectations.
*Indicator 3.4 The route served 49 unique market outlets, nearly doubling our goal of 25. The cumulative breakdown includes:

3a Farmers markets: 4 achieved
3c School food programs: 4 achieved
3d Grocery stores: 3 achieved
3e Wholesale markets: 7 achieved
3i Other access points: 17 achieved (including hybrid farmstands/procurement programs)

Data Collection & Methodology
Our tracking was integrated into daily operations through a streamlined, hands-on process:

- We maintained direct contact with growers. Before each weekly cycle, we coordinated with producers to gather data on crop availability, volume, and intended buyers, forming our primary dataset for planning.
- We tracked information at "intake" by relaying producer data to our driver, allowing us to categorize every destination under the correct USDA indicator before departure.
- Staff vetted every new market outlet as it was added to ensure cumulative totals were accurately reported as retail, wholesale, or institutional.
- A direct feedback loop with producers confirmed successful deliveries. This validated targets were met without complex automated systems, ensuring data reflected actual sales and delivery outcomes.

NARRATIVE

ACCOMPLISHMENTS (REQUIRED)

If this is an annual report, list your accomplishments for this reporting period. If this is a final report, your accomplishments should be cumulative and include all accomplishments previously reported on and updated to include activities conducted this past reporting period. Indicate how these accomplishments associated with each of your project's objective(s), outcome(s), and/or indicator(s). This listing should identify your project's partners and their contributions. Additional accomplishments can be added as needed.

+	#	Accomplishment (1,200 char.)	Related to (Objective/ Outcome)
---	---	------------------------------	---------------------------------

-	1	[FINAL REPORT] The project successfully designed, implemented and refined the pilot aggregation and distribution route along the I-90 corridor. Utilization of a contractual driver and leased truck offered logistical efficiencies as validated by participation of 15 producers and 49 distinct customers in the final year. Participating specialty producer input was important in designing and refining drop-off logistics, moving the project from a pilot plan to an operational model that reduced the financial and time burden on individual growers - successfully showing the value of a shared supply chain.	Objective 1 Create a Future-Facing Plan
-	2	The collaborative aggregation/distribution model increased market access and sales for specialty crops. The project exceeded the targeted 25 market outlets, serving a project total of 49 customers. This included 6 Institutional/School outlets (target 2), 10 Retail outlets (target 4) and 11 Wholesale/Restaurant accounts (target 3). The project also identified 22 other distribution channels, that included food procurement programs.	Objective 2 Increase Specialty Crop Sales Outcome 3.1
-	3	The project demonstrated long-term sustainability and post-grant viability. Following grant conclusion, several participating specialty producers continued to engage in a coordinated, shared delivery system at their own expense on a smaller scale. This confirms shared aggregation is financially beneficial and worth maintaining. Furthermore, VAADC, in collaboration with the SD Specialty Producers Association, leveraged the pilot's success details to submit a new USDA AMS LFPP grant proposal that seeks to expand the pilot's footprint across the western one-third of the state, increasing producer participation and diversifying product types to include proteins and value-added goods.	Objective 1 Create a Future-Facing Plan
-	4	[REPORT3] *Ran weekly specialty crop delivery routes; adjust vehicle/routes based on grower/market data *Use logistics analysis to adjust use of small/large truck on harvested volume, refine delivery route/schedule based on specialty product availability & market demand *Note seasonal grower, delivery, market data & details for 2025 planning *Evaluate 2024 costs for 2025 pricing & producer acceptance *Improve efficiency by shifting to delivery sites with forklift/amenities for handling ease of driver/market staff *Partners: Grower with drop point amenities	Objective 1: Create a future-facing plan for specialty crop food aggregation and distribution in the remote areas of western and central South Dakota.
-	5	*Achieve increased targeted market reach across institutional, farm-to-school, wholesale, retail, direct-to-consumer sectors *8 buyers gained knowledge & utilized aggregated delivery, evidenced by purchases and feedback *Demonstrate increased market access & sales through pilot delivery program - 8 new delivery points in network (6 food bank sites, 1 hospital, 1 grocery store) *Tap new market to substantially increase specialty crop sales by adding 5 locations on the Pine Ridge & Cheyenne River Reservations *Focus on building buyer relationships & understanding market demands through producer-market dialog & and market request for specific specialty crop items *Partners: Institutional buyers, schools, businesses, farm stands, food access programs	Objective 2: Increase specialty crop merchandise sales to the institutional, farm to school, wholesale, retail, and direct-to-consumer markets.
-	6	[REPORT2] Because of last year's learnings we were able to make more informed decisions about using the smaller truck when seasonal volumes were less. The small truck gives gas efficiency for less than 6 pallet loads. We will continue to refine this as more producers participate and product volume grows.	OUTCOME3. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH INCREASED ACCESS AND AWARENESS
-	7	We tapped reservation markets. We expect to add an additional reservation outlet without increasing costs.	OUTCOME3. ENHANCE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF SPECIALTY CROPS THROUGH INCREASED ACCESS AND AWARENESS

-	8	[REPORT1] Delivery truck leased, routes established weekly deliveries made and produce space allocation estimates determined. It was discovered a 26' box truck is not needed early season due to the minimal amount of produce available; thus the decision was made to rent a smaller truck. The overall logistics process including vehicle size, delivery route/schedule and produce volume were reviewed and adjusted as necessary to accommodate grower production and market demand. Many notes were compiled for use in next year's aggregation/distribution activity, as well as for our project end assessment/business plan. The 2022 delivery/aggregation season will end Oct 31. Due to that timing we have not yet evaluated costs; the analysis will be done between now and the 2023 season so we can begin to incorporate pricing scheme findings into the activity.	Objective 1 Create a future facing plan for specialty crop food aggregation and distribution in the remote areas of western and central South Dakota
-	9	Again, analysis has not been done as the season is not yet complete. However we anticipate we at least met our targeted markets goal.	Objective 2 Increase specialty crop merchandise sales to the institutional, farm to school, wholesale, retail and direct-to-consumer markets

CHALLENGES AND DEVELOPMENTS (REQUIRED)

Provide any challenges to the completion of your project or any positive developments outside of the project's original intent that you experienced during this reporting period. Also, provide the corrective actions you took to address these issues. If you did not attain an approved objective, outcome(s), and/or indicator(s), provide an explanation in the Corrective Actions column. For developments, you may put "N/A" under Corrective Actions. Additional challenges/developments may be added as needed.

+	#	Challenge or Development (1,200 char.)	Corrective Action
-	1	[REPORT4] As previously reported, producers using various boxes, containers, and bags complicated and reduced efficiency during the loading of aggregated product in the delivery truck.	VAADC leveraged external USDA AMS RFBC funds to develop self-certification training modules on post-harvest handling and local food marketing. 11 specialty crop producers completed the training and received standardized, reusable shipping boxes/bags, produce feather flags and label makers. Though the opportunity came toward the end of the SCBG project, it helped address the packaging standardization while improving food safety and market professionalism.

-	2	The project experienced market volatility and a significant reduction in both markets and volume sold during the Year4/2025 season due to the termination of LFPA and LFPP food distribution programs.	The developed aggregation route did continue and local food distribution opportunities and new local markets were monitored; however producers were unable to identify sufficient new high-volume markets to fully compensate for the noted sales reduction. This underscores the challenge of consistent market stabilization in remote areas.
-	3	Strategic planning and collaboration development focused on long-term institutional market growth for specialty crops.	VAADC, along with SD Specialty Producers Association, is currently collaborating with Nisland Market and Makoce on the Patrick Leahy Farm to School Grant Program. The goal is to leverage the pilot's established producer network and logistical learnings to add value-added specialty crop products to school menus, ensuring the long-term sustainability of the established producer relationships and distribution channels.
-	4	[REPORT3] SD still does not have a GAP certifier located within the state making the charge out of reach for a majority of SD producers. In addition, targeted markets do not require GAP certification to buy local foods.	We will work with SDSU Extension to put on a beneficial training, possibly FSMA.
-	5	An obstacle surfaced in that a delivery cost of \$175 / producer / trip was established based on actual costs. However, small producers were not making enough profit on the volume of their load to compensate for the fee.	Use learnings as a way to determine potential methods of charging producers for the distribution costs.
-	6	Several reservations have successfully utilized LFPA and LFPP food access programs to provide food for their members. This has created market opportunities for South Dakota specialty crop producers, such as melons, tomatoes, carrots and other crops. Our SCBG aggregation/distribution project has facilitated delivery of large volumes of these specialty crops to food procurement program pickup sites, including the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe and Pine Ridge reservations. Producers have also been able to supply Black Hills region food banks in Nisland, Buffalo, Bison, Wavi and Sturgis with specialty produce. By establishing these connections, we hope to encourage existing specialty crop producers to expand their operations and encourage new growers to enter the market.	We will monitor local food distribution opportunities and attempt to engage existing/new specialty crop producers and expand the route appropriately.
-	7	We have used only 76% of our planned budget. As mentioned, small truck efficiencies, gas prices and less than expected delivery time has helped us save money. We also have run into resistance with producer comfort in creating an aggregation/distribution business entity to continue once the pilot is complete.	We will request a 1 year project extension. to complete upcoming activities. The 2025 growing season will allow time to continue exploring options that may alleviate issues and allow us to expend the full funding allocation.
-	8	[REPORT2] SD still does not have a GAP certifier located within the state. The certifier charges for time and travel making it cost prohibitive for producers to get certified.	Consider transferring funds for producer GAP certification to training a SD individual to conduct GAP certifications.

-	9	Producers are using random boxes which makes loading/unloading and packing the van difficult. It is difficult to estimate the weight of the load. with seasonal bulk bin items (melons, squash). Having standardized boxes would protect products for quality and increase space utilization/stacking in the truck. Having additional pallet scales would also be helpful load logistics to prevent exceeding vehicle weight limits.	We are exploring options that can alleviate the issue and potentially be branded as SD Local Food. We are also assessing wholesale market trainings
-	10	[REPORT1] Multiple weather challenges (hail, wet soil, cold temperatures) negatively impacted the growing season and harvesting schedule. The result was a much less than anticipated volume of early and late season produce. We did run into situations midseason where the produce volume was too great to be delivered in the estimated 4 days per week. The significant increase in fuel cost was a concern in being able to keep within the budget we presented in our application.	Adjustments were made to delivery vehicle size and weekly delivery routes during the growing season to adapt to produce volume and customer demands. The smaller truck also facilitated savings in our truck lease/gas budget expenses. An additional delivery day was added to accommodate increased midseason produce volumes. The route was adjusted to deliver for the eastern route locations on certain days and western locations on the opposite day, rather than serving the full route every day. This equated to shorter days when just the western side was delivered so the overall budget was not impacted. The significant fuel cost increase was a major factor in vehicle size and route change budget modifications. Making adjustments actually kept us nearly \$4300 below estimated costs for the season.
-	11	Producer transfer sites were initially located in areas like parking lots along I90. We found a lack of transfer equipment (forklifts, pallet jacks) meant large bins needed to be transferred by hand. The time this took significantly increased delivery time for the driver.	Altered aggregation sites to areas with forklifts and other amenities to more efficiently transfer produce from producers to the delivery truck.

UPCOMING ACTIVITIES (REQUIRED FOR ANNUAL REPORTS)

Provide a description of the activities that you are planning to complete during the next reporting period. Additional activities can be added as needed. Please note, if this is a final report there should be no upcoming activities associated with the project, and this section should be left blank.

+	#	Activity (600 char.)	Anticipated Completion
-	1	Not applicable this is final report - project concluded	

LESSON LEARNED (REQUIRED FOR FINAL REPORTS)

The recipient or subrecipient is required to provide recommendations or advice that others may use to improve their performance in implementing similar projects. If this is an annual report, please skip this section. (1200 char.)

A takeaway from managing our multi-year aggregation/distribution pilot is the necessity of proactive and transparent communication with the funding agencies. We would recommend project leaders continuously share both positive developments and emerging operational challenges as they occur. This ensures agency staff fully understand the real life/real time execution of the workplan.

Maintaining an open dialogue allows the agencies to work collaboratively with the recipient to refine or amend the project scope/budget when necessary to ensure the best outcomes from the specialty crop block grant investment.

Both the SD Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources and USDA SCBGP staff were very accommodating where we needed to adapt our approved workplan, timeframe and budget to align with actual local logistics and expenses and position for next phases of the project.

CONTINUATION AND DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS (REQUIRED FOR FINAL REPORTS)

Describe your plans for continuing the project (sustainability; capacity building) and/or disseminating the project results. If this is an annual report, please skip this section. (1200 char.)

*The continuation plan utilizes successful pilot data/learnings as the next phase of expanded specialty crop shared aggregation/distribution foundation. The SCBG pilot serves as proof-of-concept for a larger, sustainable initiative. Project findings were incorporated into a USDA AMS Local Food Promotion Program (LFPP) grant application which seeks to formalize the delivery model, expand the route to the western one-third of SD and diversify product base to include proteins, dairy, grains and value added goods through an implementation plan that will engage stakeholders to create a sustainable shared service entity.

*Shared distribution route grower participants will serve as project champions. Their financial and time savings, along with firsthand experience of logistical efficiencies, will be valuable in recruiting and encouraging future participation. Project findings will be disseminated through various VAADC and SD Specialty Producers Association (SDSPA) outlets-social media/client interaction/conferences. Outreach will encourage specialty crop growers/purchasers to participate in the collaborative supply chain.

PROJECT BENEFICIARIES (REQUIRED FOR FINAL REPORTS)

Provide the number of project beneficiaries. If this is an annual report, please skip this section. (12 char.)

64

FEDERAL PROJECT EXPENDITURE

EXPENDITURE (REQUIRED)

You must respond to at least one cost category. Please note, the subtotal and total rows include formulas to auto-calculate totals. The approved budget should come from the accepted project plan or any approved budget amendments.

Cost Category	Amount Approved in Budget	Actual Federal Expenditures (Federal Funds Only)
Personnel	\$0.00	\$0.00
Fringe Benefits	\$0.00	\$0.00
Travel	\$0.00	\$0.00
Equipment	\$102,698.40	\$102,698.40
Supplies	\$37,193.02	\$37,193.02
Contractual	\$50,662.58	\$50,662.58
Other	\$0.00	\$0.00
Direct Costs Sub-Total	\$190,554.00	\$190,554.00
Indirect Costs	\$15,244.00	\$15,244.00
Project Expenditure Total Federal Costs	\$205,798.00	\$205,798.00

DISCUSSION OF EXPENDITURES (REQUIRED)

For 1st Annual Reports, if total project expenditures is less than 30 percent, and for 2nd Annual Reports, if total project expenditures is less than 60 percent, include a statement explaining how the grant funds will be expended and project activities completed as planned by the end date on the grant agreement. Any state approved budget amendments should be included here; Include the line item that the funds are coming from and going to and when the state approved the budget amendment. (1,200 char.)

USDA's approved project extension/budget amendment2 continued performance for year4 and allowed full utilization of awarded \$205,798 funds. During final season execution, a minor budget adjustment was made to meet operational demands and ensure successful delivery of specialty crop products to producer customers. A \$1,234.98 *Supplies* surplus (lower than expected fuel prices) was transferred to *Consultant* (more than anticipated driver hours) to manage timely delivery of specialty crop product volume during peak harvest season. This adjustment represents 0.0006% of the total project budget; thus does not rise to threshold of needing a formal amendment; and effectively zeroed out allocated funds.

	<u>Amend2</u>	<u>Adjust</u>	<u>Revised</u>	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Year 4</u>
Equipment-truck	102,698	-	102,698	26,124.00	26,124.00
Supplies-fuel	38,428	-1,234.98	37,193	7,450.08	7,450.08
Contractual-driver	49,428	+1,234.98	50,663	13,989.58	13,989.58
Indirect	5,244	-	15,244	2,540.67	2,540.67
	205,798		205,798	50,104.33	50,104.33

PROGRAM INCOME (IF APPLICABLE)

If program income was earned on the project, grant recipients are required to report on the source, amount, and how funds were expended. Program income is gross income --earned by a recipient or subrecipient under a grant --directly generated by the grant-supported activity or earned only because of the grant agreement during the grant period of performance. Program income includes, but is not limited to, income from fees for services performed; the sale of commodities or items fabricated under an award (this includes items sold at cost if the cost of producing the item was funded in whole or partially with grant funds); registration fees for conferences, etc.

+	Source/Nature of Program Income (100 char.)	Amount Approved in Budget	Actual Amount Earned
-	Not applicable	\$0.00	\$0.00

USE OF PROGRAM INCOME (IF APPLICABLE)

Describe how the earned program income was used to further the objectives of this project during the performance period. Any income generated must be reinvested back into the project and not set aside or reserved for future expenses after the grant ends. (1,200 char.)

Not applicable

PPR ATTACHMENTS DESCRIPTION (IF APPLICABLE)

Grantors will rely on the data that is submitted in this form when they report to congress. However, if you think additional documents are necessary to support PPR, please attach then and provide a document name and description of document below.

+	Document Name	Document Description
-	Not applicable	